

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,835	WHITMORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Mr. Stanley R. Moore.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-7, and 10-31

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 1 and 31 to clarify the claims and to place the application into condition for allowance. Provided antecedent basis in the specification for all of the limitations added to claims 1 and 31, said limitations having basis in FIG. 5 of the original drawing Figures. Agreed to cancel non-elected claims 12-25 as well as claim 29 which was considered new matter. Agreed to change "container" to -evaporation canister-- in claim 26 for proper antecedence. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.